





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2002

APPLICATION NO.			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Henry B. Strub	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/746,592				10257/7		
757	7590	12/12/2002				
		LSON & LIONE	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60611				CHRISTMAN, F	CHRISTMAN, KATHLEEN M	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3713		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No). (3)	Applicant(s)				
		09/746,592	8	STRUB ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kathleen M Ch	istman 3	3713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failure - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is signs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, how n. a reply within the statutory meriod will apply and will expire that the cause the application.	vever, may a reply be timely inimum of thirty (30) days w SIX (6) MONTHS from the	filed ill be considered timely. mailing date of this communication.				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 October 2002 .						
2a) 🗌		This action is non-	inal.					
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un on of Claims	lowance except for	ormal matters, pros	ecution as to the merits is O.G. 213.				
4)	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10 and 17-26</u> i:	s/are withdrawn from	consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) 11,14 and 15 is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>12, 13 and 16</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election require	ment.					
	on Papers	·						
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
10)🛛 7	he drawing(s) filed on <u>05 February 2001</u> is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted o	r b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲 🏾	The proposed drawing correction filed on $_$	is: a)∏ approv	ed b) disapprove	d by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required i	n reply to this Office a	tion.					
12) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.						
riority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d	d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been rece	ived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been rece	ived in Application	No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International ce the attached detailed Office action for a	oriority documents h Bureau (PCT Rule	ave been received i 17.2(a)).					
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom			to a provisional application				
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional applicati	on has been receiv	ed.				
ttachment(s)							
) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(4)		rO-413) Paper No(s) nt Application (PTO-152)				
Patent and Tra D-326 (Rev		e Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 10				

Application/Control Number: 09/746,592 Page 2

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

In response to the election filed 10/28/2002 claims 1-26 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group II claims 11-16 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). The election requirement is deemed proper and therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 1-10 and 17-26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 9.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed 12/06/2001 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because it fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98 (b)(2). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3713

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 11, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al (US 5788508). Regarding claim 11, Lee et teaches a method for monitoring and managing student activities in a course including the steps of: receiving a completed student assignment in electronic form; associating the completed student assignment with n instructor and storing the completed student assignment in a database (col. 5: 4-9); generating a gradebook for the instructor displaying grade information for each of a plurality of students in a course section taught by the instructor, wherein the gradebook comprises student identification information, grade information for any graded student assignments (Figure 16). Lee et al teaches a link to "ungraded homework" in Figure 6, through the "check homework" button. Although this feature is not taught to be within the gradebook, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the location of an option is merely a matter of design choice.

Application/Control Number: 09/746,592

Art Unit: 3713

Regarding claim 14, The gradebook comprising displaying the grade information in graphical format, wherein on of a predetermined set of graphical elements, each of the graphical elements representing a particular student performance level is displayed for each graded assignment is taught in Figure 16 by the various shading options shown within the graph. Regarding claim 15, the actual number in each block, as shown in Figure 16, represents the use of indicia.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 12, 13 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 9.
 - a. Helmick et al (US 6470171 b1) teaches a system and method for the management of online courses. Includes a gradebook feature.
 - b. Remschel (US 6411796 b1) teaches an educational management system including a gradebook, and the ability for a teacher to grade homework at a later time
 - Lee et al (US 6064856) a member of the patent series C.
 - Ziv-El (US 6302698 B1) teaches an educational system including a gradebook d.
 - Daniels et al (US 5310349) teaches an educational management system including a e. gradebook
 - f. George et al (US 5987648) teaches a system where a teacher may review student work on-line. Including the ability for the teacher to comment on student presentations they are garding

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathleen M Christman whose telephone number is (703) 308-6374. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on (703) 308-4119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Kathleen M. Christman Patent Examiner December 9, 2002

> ∕Joe H. Cheng rimary Examiner